Large-Area MOCVD Growth of Ga₂O₃ in a Rotating Disc Reactor

NICK M. SBROCKEY, 1,3 THOMAS SALAGAJ, 1 ELANE COLEMAN, 1 GARY S. TOMPA, 1 YOUNGBOO MOON, 2 and MYUNG SIK KIM 2

1.—Structured Materials Industries, Inc., 201 Circle Drive North, Unit #102, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA. 2.—UJL, A1513 Kwangkyo-ro 145, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si, Gyengggi-do 443270, Korea. 3.—e-mail: sbrockey@structuredmaterials.com

Gallium oxide is a wide-bandgap semiconductor material which is being developed for a range of electronic and electrooptic device applications. Commercial implementation of these devices will require production-scale technology for Ga₂O₃ film deposition. This work demonstrated deposition of uniform Ga₂O₃ films on both 50-mm-diameter (0001) sapphire and 200-mm-diameter (100) silicon substrates, using a rotating disc metalorganic chemical vapor deposition reactor. The source reactants were trimethylgallium and oxygen. The resulting Ga₂O₃ films were smooth, optically transparent, and highly insulating and had excellent thickness uniformity. Ga₂O₃ films deposited on (0001) sapphire at temperatures of at least 600°C and pressures of at least 45 Torr consisted of (201)-oriented β -Ga₂O₃ in the as-deposited state. The β -crystal structure was shown to be stable on annealing to 800°C, in either air or nitrogen atmosphere. A Ga₂O₃ film deposited at a lower temperature was shown to crystallize to a similar ($\overline{2}$ 01)-oriented β -Ga₂O₃ structure on postdeposition annealing at 800°C.

Key words: Ga₂O₃, thin films, MOCVD, epitaxy

INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been significant interest in the semiconductor material Ga₂O₃ for a wide range of electronic and electrooptic device applications. Ga₂O₃ has a very attractive combination of properties, including a wide bandgap with measured values ranging from 4.4 eV to 4.9 eV,^{1–3} a high breakdown field of 2.80 MV/cm to 5.70 MV/cm,^{4,5} and a dielectric constant of 10.⁴ In addition, high-quality β -Ga₂O₃ substrates can be produced by standard techniques,⁶ and are now commercially available. Ga₂O₃ is being developed for a range of applications, including power semiconductor devices,⁷ gas sensors,^{8,9} transparent conductive oxides,² ultraviolet (UV) photodetectors,^{3,10,11} and as gate dielectric layers for GaAs^{4,12} and GaN⁵ devices. Ga₂O₃ films could also be used as buffer layers for subsequent GaN film deposition.^{13,14} These applications will require high-qual-

ity Ga₂O₃ films to be deposited on Ga₂O₃ or other substrates. Many techniques have been investigated for deposition of Ga₂O₃ films, including evaporation,^{4,15} the sol–gel method,³ chemical solution deposition,⁹ spray pyrolysis,^{16,17} radio frequency (RF) sputtering,^{8,18} pulsed laser deposition,² atomic layer deposition,¹⁹ molecular beam epitaxy (MBE),^{7,12,20,21} and metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOC-VD).^{10,11,22–29}

Unlike competing wide-bandgap materials such as SiC, GaN, and diamond, Ga_2O_3 single crystals can be grown from the melt.⁶ Large-area Ga_2O_3 substrates thus have the potential to become more economical and more readily available than any of these competing materials. Therefore, it is important to develop large-area film deposition technology for Ga_2O_3 films for use in wide-bandgap device applications. Deposition of Ga_2O_3 films by MOCVD offers many advantages for ultimate device fabrication, including conformal deposition over device topography and the capability for scale-up to highvolume production. Among the published studies of

⁽Received August 8, 2014; accepted November 28, 2014)

 Ga_2O_3 film deposition by MOCVD, only one other research group^{11,26} specifically identified the deposition system as one capable of scaling to large-area wafers. The rotating disc MOCVD reactor is a proven technology for achieving uniform film deposition on large-area substrates.^{30,31} This work aimed to demonstrate large-area growth of device-quality β -Ga₂O₃ films using rotating disc MOCVD reactor technology.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

All Ga₂O₃ film depositions were done in a rotating disc MOCVD reactor. The reactor has a 16-inchdiameter stainless-steel chamber. The gas flow geometry is vertical, from an upper showerhead. The substrate platter is a horizontal, high-speed rotating disc with diameter of 31.75 cm. The platter can be configured for single-wafer (200 mm or 300 mm) or multiple-wafer (150 mm or smaller) loads. The MOCVD reactants were trimethylgallium (TMGa) and oxygen. Argon was used as the carrier gas. The deposition temperature range investigated was 500°C to 650°C. The deposition pressure range was 4 Torr to 65 Torr. The O_2 flow rates were 750 standard cm³/min (sccm) to 1800 sccm. The substrates used for the majority of the deposition trials were 50-mm-diameter (0001) sapphire wafers. Additional deposition trials were also done on 200-mm (100) silicon wafers.

For Ga_2O_3 films deposited on either (100) silicon or (0001) sapphire, thickness was determined by optical reflectance spectroscopy using white light. For Ga_2O_3 films on (100) silicon, no other characterization was done since the intention in this case was to demonstrate uniform deposition on largearea substrates. Ga_2O_3 films on (0001) sapphire were also characterized by x-ray diffraction for crystal structure and orientation, optical microscopy for general film morphology, and four-point probe for sheet resistivity. X-ray diffraction was done using Cu K_{α} radiation. Postdeposition annealing was done in a conventional tube furnace under flowing nitrogen or in a conventional box furnace in static air. All annealing trials were done at 800°C for 15 min, followed by furnace cool down to near room temperature.

RESULTS

The measured thickness of the MOCVD-deposited Ga_2O_3 films was generally in the range of 100 nm to 320 nm. All films were smooth and featureless as observed by optical microscopy up to $1500 \times$ magnification. All Ga_2O_3 films were insulating, with sheet resistivity beyond the measurement range of our equipment (10^{10} Ohms/square). The Ga_2O_3 films on sapphire substrates were visibly transparent. Results of initial MOCVD process optimization are summarized in Figs. 1, 2, and 3, which show the measured Ga_2O_3 film growth rate as a function of





Fig. 1. Measured growth rate versus $O_2/TMGa$ flow ratio for Ga_2O_3 films deposited on (0001) sapphire at 600°C and 15 Torr.



Fig. 2. Measured growth rate versus deposition temperature for Ga_2O_3 films deposited on (0001) sapphire at 45 Torr with O_2 /TMGa flow ratio of 225.



Fig. 3. Measured growth rate versus deposition pressure for Ga_2O_3 films deposited on (0001) sapphire at 600°C with O_2 /TMGa flow ratio of 225.



the O₂/TMGa flow ratio, deposition temperature,

and deposition pressure, respectively. X-ray diffraction results for Ga₂O₃ films deposited at temperature of at least 600°C and pressure of at least 45 Torr indicated a ($\overline{2}01$)-oriented β -Ga₂O₃ structure, as shown in Fig. 4. All films deposited at lower temperature or pressure showed no x-ray diffraction peaks in the as-deposited condition. Postdeposition annealing was done on a Ga₂O₃ film deposited at temperature of 550°C, pressure of 45 Torr, and O₂/TMGa flow ratio of 225. This sample originally showed no x-ray diffraction peaks in the as-deposited condition. Annealing for 15 min at 800°C in air resulted in crystallization to a $(\overline{2}01)$ oriented β -Ga₂O₃ structure, with an x-ray diffraction pattern similar to that shown in Fig. 4. Two additional film samples deposited at 600°C, 45 Torr, and O₂/TMGa flow ratio of 225 were also postdeposition annealed in flowing nitrogen and in static air. X-ray diffraction analysis of these samples showed the $(\overline{2}01)$ -oriented β -Ga₂O₃ structure in the as-deposited state. Each sample was annealed for 15 min at 800°C in the specified atmosphere. For both samples, the x-ray diffraction pattern was essentially unchanged, confirming the stability of the β -crystal structure on annealing to 800°C in both air and nitrogen.

Figure 5 shows a photograph of a 200-mm (100) silicon wafer with MOCVD-deposited Ga_2O_3 film of 90 nm nominal thickness. The insert in Fig. 5 shows a 50-mm single-side-polished (0001) sapphire substrate with an MOCVD-deposited Ga_2O_3 film of nominal 320 nm thickness. Figure 6 shows the measured thickness of the Ga_2O_3 film on (100) silicon, as a function of position across the wafer. From the data of Fig. 6, the thickness uniformity for the Ga_2O_3 film is 3.3% across the 200-mm wafer.

DISCUSSION

From the process optimization results, the best MOCVD process conditions for achieving high



Fig. 5. Photograph of a 200-mm (100) silicon wafer with MOCVD-deposited Ga_2O_3 film of nominal 90 nm thickness. The insert shows an MOCVD-deposited Ga_2O_3 film of nominal 320 nm thickness, on a 50-mm single-side-polished (0001) sapphire wafer. The size scale in the insert is applicable for both images.



Fig. 6. Measured thickness of Ga_2O_3 film deposited on a 200-mm (100) silicon wafer, as a function of position across the wafer.

deposition rates and highly ($\overline{2}01$)-oriented β -Ga₂O₃ are pressure of 45 Torr to 65 Torr, temperature of at least 600°C, and O₂/TMGa flow ratio of 225. These optimum conditions are slightly different from those reported in other studies of Ga₂O₃ MOCVD,^{11,26} which used lower O₂ flow rates. The higher O₂ flow rates used in this study are better suited to large chamber sizes for large-area Ga₂O₃ film deposition.

The $(\overline{2}01)$ -oriented β -Ga₂O₃ structure has also been observed in several other studies of Ga₂O₃ films deposited on (0001) sapphire substrates by MOC-VD^{10,22-26,28} and by MBE.²⁰ The x-ray diffraction

technique used in this work cannot confirm epitaxy of the Ga₂O₃ film, since it does not measure rotational orientation within the film plane. However, other works have confirmed epitaxial ($\overline{2}01$)-oriented β -Ga₂O₃ films on (0001) sapphire through pole figure measurements¹⁵ and by transmission electron microscopy.²⁸ Analysis using a crystal model showed the [201] direction of the β -Ga₂O₃ to be perpendicular to the sapphire {100} planes.¹² In light of these works, it is likely that the β -Ga₂O₃ films deposited on (0001) sapphire by MOCVD in this work are in fact epitaxial.

Postdeposition annealing has been shown to have a significant effect on the electrical and optical properties of β -Ga₂O₃ films on (0001) sapphire, as characterized by Hall measurements,¹¹ photoconductivity,¹¹ cathodoluminescence,¹¹ current–voltage (I-V) characteristics,^{25,26} and photoluminescence.² Therefore, it is important to characterize the annealing behavior of the Ga₂O₃ films, in order to achieve optimum properties for the ultimate device application. The Ga₂O₃ films produced in this study were shown to maintain the β -crystal structure on annealing up to 800° C, in agreement with other annealing studies.^{23,25} We did not observe any transformation to the α -structure, as has been reported by others.²⁶ The annealing atmosphere (air versus nitrogen) was shown to have no effect on the film quality as measured by x-ray diffraction in this study.

In summary, MOCVD in a rotating disc reactor was shown to be a production-worthy technique to produce Ga₂O₃ films for device applications. Deposition rates up to 7.3 nm/min were demonstrated for β -Ga₂O₃ films on (0001) sapphire substrates. We anticipate higher deposition rates can be achieved with higher precursor delivery rates. A thickness uniformity of 3.3% was demonstrated for an MOC-VD-deposited Ga₂O₃ film on a 200-mm silicon wafer. Epitaxial ($\overline{2}01$) β -Ga₂O₃ films on (0001) sapphire were achieved by deposition at temperatures of at least 600°C and pressures of at least 45 Torr. Epitaxial ($\overline{2}01$) β -Ga₂O₃ films can also be achieved by postdeposition annealing of films deposited at lower temperatures and pressures. The epitaxial $(\overline{2}01) \beta$ -Ga₂O₃ film structure was shown to be stable on annealing up to 800°C, as observed by x-ray diffraction.

REFERENCES

- 1. H.H. Tippins, Phys. Rev. 140, 316 (1965).
- M. Orita, H. Ohta, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 4166 (2000).

Sbrockey, Salagaj, Coleman, Tompa, Moon, and Kim

- 3. Y. Kokubun, K. Miura, F. Endo, and S. Nakagomi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 90, 031912 (2007).
- M. Passlack, E.F. Schubert, W.S. Hobson, M. Hong, N. 4. Moriya, S.N.G. Chu, K. Konstadinidis, J.P. Mannaerts, M.L. Schnoes, and G.J. Zydzik, J. Appl. Phys. 77, 686 (1995).
- C.-T. Lee, H.-W. Chen, and H.-Y. Lee, Appl. Phys. Lett. 82, 5. 4304 (2003).
- J. Zhang, B. Li, C. Xia, G. Pei, Q. Deng, Z. Yang, W. Xu, H. Shi, F. Wu, Y. Wu, and J. Xu, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 67, 2448 (2006).
- 7. M. Higashiwaki, K. Sasaki, A. Kuramata, T. Masui, and S. Yamakoshi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100, 013504 (2012)
- C.-I. Baban, Y. Toyoda, and M. Ogita, J. Appl. Phys. 43, 7213 (2004).
- M. Bartic, M. Ogita, M. Isai, C.-L. Baban, and H. Suzuki, J. Appl. Phys. 102, 023709 (2007).
- 10 D.-S. Wuu, S.-L. Ou, R.-H. Horng, P. Ravadgar, T.-Y. Wang, and H.-Y. Lee, Proc. SPIE 8263 (2012).
- C.-Y. Huang, R.-H. Horng, D.-S. Wuu, L.-W. Tu, and H.-S. 11. Kao, Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 011119 (2013).
- W. Priyantha, G. Radhakrishnan, R. Droopad, and M. 12.Passlack, J. Cryst. Growth 323, 103 (2011).
- X. Zi-Li, Z. Rong, X. Chang-Tai, X. Xiang-Qian, H. Ping, L. 13. Bin, Z. Hong, J. Ruo-Lian, S. Yi, and Z. You-Dou, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* 25, 2185 (2008).
- S. Ito, K. Takeda, K. Nagata, H. Aoshima, K. Takehara, M. 14. Iwaya, T. Takeuchi, S. Kamiyama, I. Akasaki, and H. Amano, Phys. Status Solidi 9, 519 (2012).
- S. Nakagomi and Y. Kokubun, J. Cryst. Growth 349, 12 15. (2012).
- 16. T. Kawaharamura, G.T. Dang, and M. Furuta, J. Appl. Phys. 51, 040207 (2012).
- S.-D. Lee, K. Akaiwa, and S. Fujita, Phys. Status Solidi C 17 10, 1592 (2013).
- 18. Y. Wei, Y. Jinliang, W. Jiangyan, and Z. Liying, J. Semicond. 33, 073003 (2012).
- F.K. Shan, G.X. Liu, W.J. Lee, G.H. Lee, I.S. Kim, and B.C. 19. Shin, J. Appl. Phys. 98, 023504 (2005).
- E.G. Villora, K. Shimamura, K. Kitamura, and K. Aoki, 20.Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 031105 (2006).
- 21.M. Higashiwaki, K. Sasaki, T. Kamimura, M.H. Wong, D. Krishnamurthy, A. Kuramata, and T. Masui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 103, 123511 (2013).
- D. Gogova, G. Wagner, M. Baldini, M. Schmidbauer, K. Irmscher, R. Schewski, Z. Galazka, M. Albrecht, and R. 22 Fornari, J. Cryst. Growth 401, 665 (2013).
- P. Ravadgar, R.-H. Horng, S.-D. Yao, H.-Y. Lee, B.-R. Wu, 23.S.-L. Ou, and L.-W. Tu, *Opt. Express* 21, 24599 (2013). P. Ravadgar, R.-H. Horng, L.-W. Tu, S.-L. Ou, H.-P. Pan,
- 24.and S.-D. Yao, Proc. SPIE 8626 (2013).
- 25. R.-H. Horng, P. Ravadgar, Proc. SPIE 8626 (2013).
- 26.P. Ravadgar, R.H. Horng, and T.Y. Wang, ECS J Solid State Sci. Technol. 1, N58 (2012).
- 27. W. Mi, J. Ma, Z. Zhu, and C. Luan, J. Cryst. Growth 354, 93 (2012).
- 28.Y. Lv, J. Ma, W. Mi, C. Luan, Z. Zhu, and H. Xiao, Vacuum 86, 1850 (2012).
- 29. H.W. Kim and N.H. Kim, Appl. Surf. Sci. 230, 301 (2004).
- William G. Breiland and Greg H. Evans, J. Electrochem. 30 Soc. 138, 1806 (1991).
- G.S. Tompa, P.A. Zawadzki, K. Moy, M. McKee, A.G. 31. Thompson, A.I. Gurary, E. Wolak, P. Esherick, W.G. Breiland, G.H. Evans, N. Bulitka, J. Hennessy, and C.J.L. Moore, J. Cryst. Growth 145, 655 (1994).